

Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations

Tatsuro Ito^{*} and Paul Terwilliger[†]

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0, and let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of unity. Let $\mathcal{A}_q$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra defined by generators x, y and relations

$$\begin{aligned} x^3y - [3]_q x^2yx + [3]_q xyx^2 - yx^3 &= 0, \\ y^3x - [3]_q y^2xy + [3]_q yxy^2 - xy^3 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $[3]_q = (q^3 - q^{-3})/(q - q^{-1})$. We classify up to isomorphism the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules on which neither of x, y is nilpotent. We discuss how these modules are related to tridiagonal pairs.

Keywords. Tridiagonal pair, Leonard pair, q -Racah polynomial, quantum group, quantum affine algebra.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37. Secondary: 05E30, 05E35, 33D45, 33D80.

1 Statement of the problem and results

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{K}$ will denote an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0. We fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{K}$ that is not a root of 1 and adopt the following notation:

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.1 Let $\mathcal{A}_q$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra defined by generators x, y and relations

$$x^3y - [3]_q x^2yx + [3]_q xyx^2 - yx^3 = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$y^3x - [3]_q y^2xy + [3]_q yxy^2 - xy^3 = 0. \quad (3)$$

We call x, y the *standard generators* for $\mathcal{A}_q$.

^{*}Department of Computational Science, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison WI 53706-1388 USA

Remark 1.2 The equations (2), (3) are the cubic q -Serre relations [32, p. 11].

We are interested in a certain class of $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules. To describe this class we recall a concept. Let V denote a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. A linear transformation $X : V \rightarrow V$ is said to be *nilpotent* whenever there exists a positive integer n such that $X^n = 0$.

Definition 1.3 Let V denote a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. We say this module is *NonNil* whenever the standard generators x, y are not nilpotent on V .

In this paper we will classify up to isomorphism the NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules.

Before we state our results, we explain why this problem is of interest. A recent topic of research concerns the *Leonard pairs* [23], [37], [39], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], [53], [54] and the closely related *tridiagonal pairs* [1], [2], [24], [25], [26], [34], [35], [36]. A Leonard pair is a pair of semisimple linear transformations on a finite-dimensional vector space, each of which acts in an irreducible tridiagonal fashion on an eigenbasis for the other [42, Definition 1.1]. The Leonard pairs are classified [42], [49] and correspond to the orthogonal polynomials that make up the terminating branch of the Askey scheme [28], [50]. A tridiagonal pair is a mild generalization of a Leonard pair [24, Definition 1.1]. For these objects the classification problem is open. Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. Then the standard generators x, y act on V as a tridiagonal pair; see Section 2 for the details.

We now summarize our classification. We begin with some comments. Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. As we will see, the standard generators x, y are semisimple on V . Moreover there exist an integer $d \geq 0$ and nonzero scalars $\alpha, \alpha^* \in \mathbb{K}$ such that the set of distinct eigenvalues of x (resp. y) on V is $\{\alpha q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ (resp. $\{\alpha^* q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$). We call the ordered pair (α, α^*) the *type* of V . Replacing x, y by $x/\alpha, y/\alpha^*$ the type becomes $(1, 1)$. Consequently it suffices to classify the NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules of type $(1, 1)$. As we will see, these objects are related to certain modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. This algebra is defined as follows.

Definition 1.4 [13, p. 262] The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with generators $e_i^\pm, K_i^{\pm 1}, i \in \{0, 1\}$ and the following relations:

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad (4)$$

$$K_0 K_1 = K_1 K_0, \quad (5)$$

$$K_i e_i^\pm K_i^{-1} = q^{\pm 2} e_i^\pm, \quad (6)$$

$$K_i e_j^\pm K_i^{-1} = q^{\mp 2} e_j^\pm, \quad i \neq j, \quad (7)$$

$$[e_i^+, e_i^-] = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (8)$$

$$[e_0^\pm, e_1^\mp] = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$(e_i^\pm)^3 e_j^\pm - [3]_q (e_i^\pm)^2 e_j^\pm e_i^\pm + [3]_q e_i^\pm e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^2 - e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^3 = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (10)$$

We call $e_i^\pm, K_i^{\pm 1}, i \in \{0, 1\}$ the *Chevalley generators* for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Remark 1.5 [32, Corollary 3.2.6] There exists an injection of $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras from $\mathcal{A}_q$ to $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ that sends x and y to e_0^+ and e_1^+ respectively. Consequently $\mathcal{A}_q$ is often called the *positive part* of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

The finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules are classified up to isomorphism by V. Chari and A. Pressley [13]. In Section 3 we review this classification, and here mention just those aspects needed to state our results. Let $\mathbb{K}[z]$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra consisting of the polynomials in an indeterminate z that have coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$. Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module. By [13, Prop. 3.2] the actions of K_0 and K_1 on V are semisimple. Also by [13, Prop. 3.2] there exist an integer $d \geq 0$ and scalars $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ chosen from $\{1, -1\}$ such that

- (i) the set of distinct eigenvalues of K_0 on V is $\{\varepsilon_0 q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$;
- (ii) $K_0 K_1 - \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 I$ vanishes on V .

The ordered pair $(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1)$ is called the *type* of V . Now assume V has type $(1, 1)$. Following Drinfel'd [16], Chari and Pressley define a polynomial $P = P_V$ in $\mathbb{K}[z]$, called the *Drinfel'd polynomial of V* [13, Section 3.4]. By [13, p. 261] the map $V \rightarrow P_V$ induces a bijection between the following two sets:

- (i) The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules of type $(1, 1)$;
- (ii) The polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[z]$ that have constant coefficient 1.

The main results of this paper are contained in the following two theorems and subsequent remark.

Theorem 1.6 *Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. Then there exists a unique $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. This $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is irreducible type $(1, 1)$ and $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.*

Theorem 1.7 *Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$ such that $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$. Then there exists a unique $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. This $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is NonNil irreducible of type $(1, 1)$.*

Remark 1.8 Combining Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 we obtain a bijection between the following two sets:

- (i) The isomorphism classes of NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules of type $(1, 1)$;
- (ii) The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules V of type $(1, 1)$ such that $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

The plan for the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe how $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules are related to tridiagonal pairs. In Section 3 we recall some facts about finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules, including the classification due to Chari and Pressley. In Section 4 we discuss the Drinfel'd polynomial. Sections 5–12 are devoted to proving Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

2 $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules and tridiagonal pairs

In this section we explain how a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module gives a tridiagonal pair. We will use the following concepts. Let V denote a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and let $X : V \rightarrow V$ denote a linear transformation. For $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ we define

$$V_X(\theta) = \{v \in V \mid Xv = \theta v\}.$$

We observe θ is an eigenvalue of X if and only if $V_X(\theta) \neq 0$, and in this case $V_X(\theta)$ is the corresponding eigenspace. Observe the sum $\sum_{\theta \in \mathbb{K}} V_X(\theta)$ is direct. Moreover this sum is equal to V if and only if X is semisimple.

Lemma 2.1 *Let V denote a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $X : V \rightarrow V$ and $Y : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Then for all nonzero $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The expression $X^3Y - [3]_q X^2YX + [3]_q XYX^2 - YX^3$ vanishes on $V_X(\theta)$.*
- (ii) *$YV_X(\theta) \subseteq V_X(q^2\theta) + V_X(\theta) + V_X(q^{-2}\theta)$.*

Proof: For $v \in V_X(\theta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (X^3Y - [3]_q X^2YX + [3]_q XYX^2 - YX^3)v \\ &= (X^3 - \theta[3]_q X^2 + \theta^2[3]_q X - \theta^3 I)Yv && \text{Since } Xv = \theta v \\ &= (X - q^2\theta I)(X - \theta I)(X - q^{-2}\theta I)Yv, \end{aligned}$$

where $I : V \rightarrow V$ is the identity map. The scalars $q^2\theta, \theta, q^{-2}\theta$ are mutually distinct since $\theta \neq 0$ and since q is not a root of 1. The result follows. $\square$

We now recall the concept of a *tridiagonal pair*.

Definition 2.2 [24, Definition 1.1] Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* on V , we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ that satisfy the following four conditions:

- (i) Each of A, A^* is semisimple.
- (ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (11)$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \quad (12)$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$, $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

Note 2.3 According to a common notational convention, A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A . We are not using this convention. In a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

Note 2.4 In Section 1 we mentioned the concept of a Leonard pair. A Leonard pair is the same thing as a tridiagonal pair for which the V_i, V_i^* all have dimension 1 [43, Lemma 2.2].

We refer the reader to [24], [43] for the basic theory of tridiagonal pairs. For connections to distance-regular graphs see [6, p. 260], [12], [17], [24, Example 1.4], [31], [38], [40], [41]. For connections to statistical mechanics see [7], [8], [9], [10], [15], [53, Section 34] and the references therein. For related topics see [3], [4], [5], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [29], [30], [33], [55], [56], [57], [58].

We recall a few basic facts about tridiagonal pairs. Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V and let d, δ be as in Definition 2.2(ii), (iii). By [24, Lemma 4.5] we have $d = \delta$; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^* . An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) will be called *standard* whenever it satisfies (11) (resp. (12)). We comment on the uniqueness of the standard ordering. Let $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A . Then the ordering $V_d, V_{d-1}, \dots, V_0$ is standard and no other ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^* . An ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*) will be called *standard* whenever the corresponding ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is standard.

Definition 2.5 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. We call this sequence a *q-string* whenever there exists a nonzero scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\theta_i = \alpha q^{d-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Definition 2.6 A tridiagonal pair A, A^* is said to be *q-geometric* whenever (i) there exists a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A which forms a *q-string*; and (ii) there exists a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A^* which forms a *q-string*.

We refer the reader to [1], [2], [25], [26], [43] for information about *q-geometric* tridiagonal pairs.

Theorem 2.7 [43, Lemma 4.8] *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) A, A^* is a *q-geometric tridiagonal pair* on V .

- (ii) *There exists a NonNil irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x, y act as A, A^* respectively.*

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We first show

$$A^3 A^* - [3]_q A^2 A^* A + [3]_q A A^* A^2 - A^* A^3 = 0. \quad (13)$$

Since the tridiagonal pair A, A^* is q -geometric, there exists a standard ordering $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ of the eigenvalues for A which forms a q -string. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let V_i denote the eigenspace of A associated with θ_i . Then the ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ is standard and therefore satisfies (11). By this and since $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is a q -string we find

$$A^* V_A(\theta) \subseteq V_A(q^2 \theta) + V_A(\theta) + V_A(q^{-2} \theta)$$

for each eigenvalue θ of A . Invoking Lemma 2.1 we find that in equation (13) the expression on the left vanishes on each eigenspace of A . These eigenspaces span V since A is semisimple, and equation (13) follows. Interchanging A, A^* in the above argument we find

$$A^* A^3 - [3]_q A^* A^2 A A^* + [3]_q A^* A A^* A^2 - A A^* A^3 = 0. \quad (14)$$

By (13), (14) there exists an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that x, y act as A, A^* respectively. This $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is irreducible in view of Definition 2.2(iv). This $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is NonNil since each of A, A^* has all eigenvalues nonzero.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): The field $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed so it contains all the eigenvalues for A . Since V has finite positive dimension, A has at least one eigenvalue. Since x acts on V as A and since this action is not nilpotent, A has at least one nonzero eigenvalue θ . The scalars $\theta, \theta q^2, \theta q^4, \dots$ are mutually distinct since q is not a root of unity, so these scalars are not all eigenvalues for A . Consequently there exists a nonzero $\eta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $V_A(\eta) \neq 0$ and $V_A(\eta q^2) = 0$. There exists an integer $d \geq 0$ such that $V_A(\eta q^{-2i})$ is nonzero for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and zero for $i = d + 1$. We abbreviate $V_i = V_A(\eta q^{-2i})$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By construction $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ is A -invariant. By Lemma 2.1 we find

$$A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$. Consequently $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ is A^* -invariant. Since the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V is irreducible and since $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i \neq 0$ we find $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i = V$. Now A is semisimple and Definition 2.2(ii) holds. Interchanging A, A^* in the above argument we find A^* is semisimple and Definition 2.2(iii) holds. Definition 2.2(iv) is satisfied since the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module V is irreducible. We have now shown that A, A^* is a tridiagonal pair on V . From the construction this tridiagonal pair is q -geometric. $\square$

Corollary 2.8 *Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. Then the standard generators x, y are semisimple on V . Moreover there exist an integer $d \geq 0$ and nonzero scalars $\alpha, \alpha^* \in \mathbb{K}$ such that the set of distinct eigenvalues of x (resp. y) on V is $\{\alpha q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ (resp. $\{\alpha^* q^{d-2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\}$).*

Proof: Immediate from Definition 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. $\square$

Definition 2.9 Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. By the *type* of V we mean the ordered pair (α, α^*) from Corollary 2.8. By the *diameter* of V we mean the scalar d from Corollary 2.8.

Lemma 2.10 *For all nonzero $\alpha, \alpha^* \in \mathbb{K}$ there exists a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_q$ such that*

$$x \rightarrow \alpha x, \quad y \rightarrow \alpha^* y.$$

Proof: This is immediate from Definition 1.1. □

Remark 2.11 Given a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module, we can change its type to any other type by applying an automorphism from Lemma 2.10.

3 Finite dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules

In this section we recall some facts about finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules, including the classification due to Chari and Pressley [13].

We begin with some notation. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let d denote a nonnegative integer. By a *decomposition of V with diameter d* we mean a sequence $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ consisting of nonzero subspaces of V such that

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

We do not assume the spaces $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d$ have dimension 1. For notational convenience we define $U_{-1} = 0$ and $U_{d+1} = 0$.

Lemma 3.1 [13, Prop. 3.2] *Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module. Then there exist unique scalars $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ in $\{1, -1\}$ and a unique decomposition $U_0, \dots, U_d$ of V such that*

$$(K_0 - \varepsilon_0 q^{2i-d} I)U_i = 0, \quad (K_1 - \varepsilon_1 q^{d-2i} I)U_i = 0 \quad (15)$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$. Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$e_0^+ U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}, \quad e_1^- U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}, \quad (16)$$

$$e_0^- U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}, \quad e_1^+ U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}. \quad (17)$$

Definition 3.2 The ordered pair $(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1)$ in Lemma 3.1 is the *type* of V and d is the *diameter* of V . The sequence $U_0, \dots, U_d$ is the *weight space* decomposition of V (relative to K_0 and K_1).

Lemma 3.3 [13, Prop. 3.3] *For any choice of scalars $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ from $\{1, -1\}$, there exists a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphism of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ such that*

$$K_i \rightarrow \varepsilon_i K_i, \quad e_i^+ \rightarrow \varepsilon_i e_i^+, \quad e_i^- \rightarrow e_i^-$$

for $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Remark 3.4 Given a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module, we can alter its type to any other type by applying an automorphism from Lemma 3.3.

We now recall the evaluation modules.

Lemma 3.5 [13, Section 4] *There exists a family of finite-dimensional irreducible type $(1, 1)$ $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules*

$$V(d, a) \quad d = 1, 2, \dots \quad 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{K}$$

with the following property. The module $V(d, a)$ has a basis $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 v_i &= q^{2i-d} v_i & (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ e_0^- v_i &= qa^{-1}[d-i+1]_q v_{i-1} & (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad e_0^- v_0 = 0, \\ e_0^+ v_i &= q^{-1}a[i+1]_q v_{i+1} & (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad e_0^+ v_d = 0, \\ K_1 v_i &= q^{d-2i} v_i & (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ e_1^- v_i &= [i+1]_q v_{i+1} & (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad e_1^- v_d = 0, \\ e_1^+ v_i &= [d-i+1]_q v_{i-1} & (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad e_1^+ v_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.6 [13, Definition 4.2] The $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module $V(d, a)$ from Lemma 3.5 is called an *evaluation module*. The scalar a is called the *evaluation parameter*.

Remark 3.7 [13, Remark 4.2] Referring to Lemma 3.5 and Definition 3.6, two evaluation modules $V(d, a)$ and $V(d', a')$ are isomorphic if and only if $(d, a) = (d', a')$.

We now recall how the tensor product of two $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules becomes a $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module. We will use the following fact.

Lemma 3.8 [13, p. 263] *$U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ has the following Hopf algebra structure. The comultiplication Δ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_i^+) &= e_i^+ \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes e_i^+, \\ \Delta(e_i^-) &= e_i^- \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes e_i^-, \\ \Delta(K_i) &= K_i \otimes K_i. \end{aligned}$$

The counit ε satisfies

$$\varepsilon(e_i^\pm) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1.$$

The antipode S satisfies

$$S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}, \quad S(e_i^+) = -e_i^+ K_i^{-1}, \quad S(e_i^-) = -K_i e_i^-.$$

Combining Lemma 3.8 with [14, p. 110] we routinely obtain the following.

Lemma 3.9 *Let V, W denote $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules. Then the tensor product $V \otimes W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W$ has the following $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structure. For $v \in V$, for $w \in W$ and for $i \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^+(v \otimes w) &= e_i^+ v \otimes K_i w + v \otimes e_i^+ w, \\ e_i^-(v \otimes w) &= e_i^- v \otimes w + K_i^{-1} v \otimes e_i^- w, \\ K_i(v \otimes w) &= K_i v \otimes K_i w. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.10 [14, p. 110] There exists a one dimensional $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module on which each element $z \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ acts as $\varepsilon(z)I$, where ε is from Lemma 3.8 and I is the identity map. In particular on this module each of $e_0^\pm, e_1^\pm$ vanishes and each of $K_0^{\pm 1}, K_1^{\pm 1}$ acts as I . This module is irreducible, with diameter 0 and type $(1, 1)$. This module is unique up to isomorphism. We call this module the *trivial* $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module.

We now state Chari and Pressley's classification of the finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules. In view of Remark 3.4 it suffices to consider the modules of type $(1, 1)$.

Theorem 3.11 [13, Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.11] *Each nontrivial finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of evaluation modules. Two such tensor products are isomorphic if and only if one is obtained from the other by permuting the factors in the tensor product. A tensor product of evaluation modules*

$$V(d_1, a_1) \otimes V(d_2, a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(d_N, a_N)$$

is irreducible if and only if

$$a_i a_j^{-1} \notin \{q^{d_i+d_j}, q^{d_i+d_j-2}, \dots, q^{|d_i-d_j|+2}\}, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N, i \neq j)$$

and in this case it is type $(1, 1)$.

We end this section with a comment.

Lemma 3.12 *Let V denote a nontrivial finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. Write V as a tensor product of evaluation modules:*

$$V = V(d_1, a_1) \otimes V(d_2, a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(d_N, a_N).$$

Let $U_0, \dots, U_d$ denote the weight space decomposition of V from Lemma 3.1. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \dim(U_i) z^i = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{d_j}).$$

Proof: Combine Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.9. □

4 The Drinfel'd polynomial

In this section we recall the Drinfel'd polynomial associated with a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$.

Definition 4.1 Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$, and let $U_0, \dots, U_d$ denote the corresponding weight space decomposition from Lemma 3.1. From Lemma 3.12 we find $\dim(U_0) = 1$. Pick an integer $i \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.1 we find U_0 is an eigenspace for $(e_1^+)^i(e_0^+)^i$; let σ_i denote the corresponding eigenvalue. We observe $\sigma_i = 0$ if $i > d$.

We will use the following notation. With reference to (1) we define

$$[n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (18)$$

We interpret $[0]_q! = 1$.

Definition 4.2 [13, Section 3.4] Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. We define a polynomial $P_V \in \mathbb{K}[z]$ by

$$P_V = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i \sigma_i q^i z^i}{[i]_q!^2}, \quad (19)$$

where the scalars σ_i are from Definition 4.1. We observe that P_V has degree at most the diameter of V . Moreover P_V has constant coefficient $\sigma_0 = 1$. We call P_V the *Drinfel'd polynomial* of V .

Note 4.3 The polynomial P_V from Definition 4.2 is the same as the polynomial P from [13, Theorem 3.4], provided P is normalized to have constant coefficient 1. This is explained in [13, p. 268].

The Drinfel'd polynomial has the following properties.

Theorem 4.4 [13, Corollary 4.2, Proposition 4.3] *Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. If $V = V(d, a)$ is an evaluation module then*

$$P_V = (1 - q^{d-1}az)(1 - q^{d-3}az) \cdots (1 - q^{1-d}az).$$

If $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$ is a tensor product of evaluation modules then $P_V = \prod_{i=1}^N P_{V_i}$.

Theorem 4.5 [13, p. 261] *The map $V \rightarrow P_V$ induces a bijection between the following two sets:*

- (i) *The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules of type $(1, 1)$;*
- (ii) *The polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[z]$ that have constant coefficient 1.*

5 From NonNil $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules to $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules

In this section we cite some results from [26] that will be of use in our proof of Theorem 1.6.

The following theorem is a minor adaption [26, Theorem 3.3] and [26, Theorem 13.1]; we will sketch the proof in order to motivate what comes later in the paper.

Theorem 5.1 [26, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 13.1] *Let V denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. Then there exists a unique $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. This $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is irreducible and type $(1, 1)$.*

Sketch of Proof: For notational convenience let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformations such that x and y act on V as A and A^* respectively. By Theorem 2.7 the pair A, A^* is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V . Let d denote the diameter of this tridiagonal pair. By Definition 2.6 and since the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module V has type $(1, 1)$, the sequence $q^d, q^{d-2}, \dots, q^{-d}$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues for each of A, A^* . For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let V_i (resp. V_i^*) denote the eigenspace of A (resp. A^*) associated with the eigenvalue q^{2i-d} (resp. q^{d-2i}). Moreover for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define

$$U_i = (V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*) \cap (V_i + \dots + V_d).$$

By [26, Lemma 4.2] the sequence $U_0, \dots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V . Therefore there exists a linear transformation $K : V \rightarrow V$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, U_i is an eigenspace for K with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} . For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= (V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \dots + V_{d-i}), \\ W_i^* &= (V_{d-i}^* + \dots + V_d^*) \cap (V_i + \dots + V_d). \end{aligned}$$

Then $W_0, \dots, W_d$ and $W_0^*, \dots, W_d^*$ are decompositions of V [26, Lemma 4.2]. Therefore there exist linear transformations $B : V \rightarrow V$ and $B^* : V \rightarrow V$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, W_i (resp. W_i^*) is an eigenspace for B (resp. B^*) with eigenvalue q^{2i-d} (resp. q^{d-2i}). By [26, Theorem 7.1] we have

$$\frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{qBA^* - q^{-1}A^*B}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{qA^*B^* - q^{-1}B^*A^*}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{qB^*A - q^{-1}AB^*}{q - q^{-1}} = I \tag{23}$$

and by [26, Theorem 10.1] we have

$$\frac{qK^{-1}A - q^{-1}AK^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{qBK^{-1} - q^{-1}K^{-1}B}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{qKA^* - q^{-1}A^*K}{q - q^{-1}} = I, \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{qB^*K - q^{-1}KB^*}{q - q^{-1}} = I. \quad (27)$$

By construction we have

$$A^3A^* - [3]_qA^2A^*A + [3]_qAA^*A^2 - A^*A^3 = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$A^{*3}A - [3]_qA^{*2}AA^* + [3]_qA^*AA^{*2} - AA^{*3} = 0 \quad (29)$$

and by [26, Theorem 12.1] we have

$$B^3B^* - [3]_qB^2B^*B + [3]_qBB^*B^2 - B^*B^3 = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$B^{*3}B - [3]_qB^{*2}BB^* + [3]_qB^*BB^{*2} - BB^{*3} = 0. \quad (31)$$

In order to connect the above equations with the defining relations for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ we make a change of variables. Define

$$\begin{aligned} R &= A - K, & L &= A^* - K^{-1}, \\ r &= \frac{I - KB^*}{q(q - q^{-1})^2}, & l &= \frac{I - K^{-1}B}{q(q - q^{-1})^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$A = K + R, \quad A^* = K^{-1} + L, \quad (32)$$

$$B = K - q(q - q^{-1})^2 Kl, \quad B^* = K^{-1} - q(q - q^{-1})^2 K^{-1}r. \quad (33)$$

Evaluating (20)–(31) using (32), (33) we routinely obtain

$$\begin{aligned} KRK^{-1} &= q^2R, & K L K^{-1} &= q^{-2}L, \\ K r K^{-1} &= q^2r, & K l K^{-1} &= q^{-2}l, \\ rL - Lr &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, & lR - Rl &= \frac{K^{-1} - K}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ lL &= Ll, & rR &= Rr, \\ 0 &= R^3L - [3]_qR^2LR + [3]_qRLR^2 - LR^3, \\ 0 &= L^3R - [3]_qL^2RL + [3]_qLRL^2 - RL^3, \\ 0 &= r^3l - [3]_qr^2lr + [3]_qrlr^2 - lr^3, \\ 0 &= l^3r - [3]_ql^2rl + [3]_qlrl^2 - rl^3. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently V becomes a $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module on which the Chevalley generators act as follows:

generator	e_0^+	e_1^+	e_0^-	e_1^-	K_0	K_1	K_0^{-1}	K_1^{-1}
action on V	R	L	l	r	K	K^{-1}	K^{-1}	K

By the construction x and y act on V as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. By this and since the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module V is irreducible we find the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module V is irreducible. By the definition of K and since K_0, K_1 act on V as K, K^{-1} respectively we find the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module V is type $(1, 1)$. We have now proved all the assertions of the theorem except uniqueness. The proof of uniqueness is given in [26, Section 14]. $\square$

Remark 5.2 The equations (20)–(31) give essentially the equitable presentation of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [26], [27], [52].

6 From $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules to NonNil $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules, I

Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. In this section we show that there exists a NonNil $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. We discuss some of the basic properties of this $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module.

Lemma 6.1 *There exists a homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras from $\mathcal{A}_q$ to $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ that sends x and y to $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively.*

Proof: Using the defining relations for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ we routinely find that $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations. $\square$

Assumption 6.2 Throughout this section V will denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. We let $U_0, \dots, U_d$ denote the weight space decomposition of V , from Lemma 3.1. We let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformations that act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. By Lemma 6.1, V is an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module on which x, y act as A, A^* respectively.

Remark 6.3 Referring to Assumption 6.2, the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module V is not necessarily irreducible. We will address this issue in Proposition 12.1.

Lemma 6.4 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 the following hold for $0 \leq i \leq d$:*

- (i) *The element e_0^+ acts on U_i as $A - q^{2i-d}I$.*
- (ii) *The element e_1^+ acts on U_i as $A^* - q^{d-2i}I$.*

Proof: (i) The element e_0^+ acts on U_i as $A - K_0$. By (15) and since V has type $(1, 1)$ we find K_0 acts on U_i as $q^{2i-d}I$. The result follows.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. $\square$

Lemma 6.5 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 the following hold for $0 \leq i \leq d$:*

- (i) $(A - q^{2i-d}I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1},$
- (ii) $(A^* - q^{d-2i}I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}.$

Proof: (i) Combine Lemma 6.4(i) with the inclusion on the left in (16).

(ii) Combine Lemma 6.4(ii) with the inclusion on the right in (17). $\square$

Lemma 6.6 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, each of A, A^* is semisimple with eigenvalues $q^{-d}, q^{2-d}, \dots, q^d$. Moreover for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the dimension of the eigenspace for A (resp. A^*) associated with q^{2i-d} (resp. q^{d-2i}) is equal to the dimension of U_i .*

Proof: We first display the eigenvalues of A . Observe that the scalars q^{2i-d} ($0 \leq i \leq d$) are mutually distinct since q is not a root of unity. Recall that $U_0, \dots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V . By Lemma 6.5(i) we see that, with respect to an appropriate basis for V , A is represented by a lower triangular matrix that has diagonal entries $q^{-d}, q^{2-d}, \dots, q^d$, with q^{2i-d} appearing $\dim(U_i)$ times for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Hence for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the scalar q^{2i-d} is a root of the characteristic polynomial of A with multiplicity $\dim(U_i)$. We now show A is semisimple. To do this we show that the minimal polynomial of A has distinct roots. By Lemma 6.5(i) we find $\prod_{i=0}^d (A - q^{2i-d}I)$ vanishes on V . By this and since q^{2i-d} ($0 \leq i \leq d$) are distinct we see that the minimal polynomial of A has distinct roots. Therefore A is semisimple. We have now proved our assertions concerning A ; our assertions concerning A^* are similarly proved. $\square$

Corollary 6.7 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, the $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module V is NonNil.*

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 6.6. $\square$

Definition 6.8 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we let V_i (resp. V_i^*) denote the eigenspace of A (resp. A^*) associated with the eigenvalue q^{2i-d} (resp. q^{d-2i}). We observe that $V_0, \dots, V_d$ (resp. $V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*$) is a decomposition of V .*

Lemma 6.9 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 6.8 the following hold for $0 \leq i \leq d$:*

- (i) $V_i + \dots + V_d = U_i + \dots + U_d,$
- (ii) $V_0^* + \dots + V_i^* = U_0 + \dots + U_i.$

Proof: (i) Let $X_i = \sum_{j=i}^d U_j$ and $X'_i = \sum_{j=i}^d V_j$. We show $X_i = X'_i$. Define $T_i = \prod_{j=i}^d (A - q^{2j-d}I)$. Then $X'_i = \{v \in V \mid T_i v = 0\}$, and $T_i X_i = 0$ by Lemma 6.5(i), so $X_i \subseteq X'_i$. Now define $S_i = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (A - q^{2j-d}I)$. Observe that $S_i V = X'_i$, and $S_i V \subseteq X_i$ by Lemma 6.5(i), so $X'_i \subseteq X_i$. By these comments $X_i = X'_i$.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. $\square$

Lemma 6.10 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 6.8 the following hold:*

(i) *For $0 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1},$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$, $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(ii) *For $0 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*,$$

where $V_{-1}^ = 0$, $V_{d+1}^* = 0$.*

Proof: The elements A, A^* satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations by Lemma 6.1 and Assumption 6.2. The result follows from this, Lemma 2.1, and Definition 6.8. $\square$

Remark 6.11 With reference to Assumption 6.2, the pair A, A^* is not necessarily a tridiagonal pair on V , since V might be reducible as an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module.

7 The projections F_i, E_i, E_i^*

Definition 7.1 With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 6.8 we define the following for $0 \leq i \leq d$:

(i) We let $F_i : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation that satisfies both

$$\begin{aligned} (F_i - I)U_i &= 0, \\ F_i U_j &= 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j \leq d).$$

We observe F_i is the projection from V onto U_i .

(ii) We let $E_i : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation that satisfies both

$$\begin{aligned} (E_i - I)V_i &= 0, \\ E_i V_j &= 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j \leq d).$$

We observe E_i is the projection from V onto V_i .

(iii) We let $E_i^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote the linear transformation that satisfies both

$$\begin{aligned} (E_i^* - I)V_i^* &= 0, \\ E_i^* V_j^* &= 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j \leq d).$$

We observe E_i^* is the projection from V onto V_i^* .

Proposition 7.2 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 7.1 the following hold for $0 \leq i \leq d$:*

(i) *The linear transformations*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \rightarrow & V_i \\ u & \rightarrow & E_i u \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V_i & \rightarrow & U_i \\ v & \rightarrow & F_i v \end{array}$$

are bijections, and moreover, they are inverses.

(ii) *The linear transformations*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i & \rightarrow & V_i^* \\ u & \rightarrow & E_i^* u \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V_i^* & \rightarrow & U_i \\ v & \rightarrow & F_i v \end{array}$$

are bijections, and moreover, they are inverses.

Proof: (i) It suffices to show $F_i E_i - I$ vanishes on U_i and $E_i F_i - I$ vanishes on V_i . We will use the following notation. For $0 \leq j \leq d$ recall $U_j + \dots + U_d = V_j + \dots + V_d$; let W_j denote this common sum. We set $W_{d+1} = 0$. By the construction $W_i = U_i + W_{i+1}$ (direct sum) and $W_i = V_i + W_{i+1}$ (direct sum). Also $(I - F_i)W_i = W_{i+1}$ and $(I - E_i)W_i = W_{i+1}$. We now show $F_i E_i - I$ vanishes on U_i . Pick $u \in U_i$. Using $F_i E_i - I = (F_i - I)E_i + E_i - I$ and our preliminary comments we routinely find $(F_i E_i - I)u \in W_{i+1}$. But $(F_i E_i - I)u \in U_i$ by construction and $U_i \cap W_{i+1} = 0$ so $(F_i E_i - I)u = 0$. We now show $E_i F_i - I$ vanishes on V_i . Pick $v \in V_i$. Using $E_i F_i - I = (E_i - I)F_i + F_i - I$ and our preliminary comments we routinely find $(E_i F_i - I)v \in W_{i+1}$. But $(E_i F_i - I)v \in V_i$ by construction and $V_i \cap W_{i+1} = 0$ so $(E_i F_i - I)v = 0$. We have now shown $F_i E_i - I$ vanishes on U_i and $E_i F_i - I$ vanishes on V_i . Consequently the given maps are inverses. Each of these maps has an inverse and is therefore a bijection.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. □

The following formulae will be useful.

Lemma 7.3 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 7.1, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have*

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - q^{2j-d} I}{q^{2i-d} - q^{2j-d}}, \tag{34}$$

$$E_i^* = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A^* - q^{d-2j} I}{q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2j}}. \tag{35}$$

Proof: Concerning (34), let E'_i denote the expression on the right in that line. Using Definition 6.8 we find $(E'_i - I)V_i = 0$ and $E'_i V_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq d$, $j \neq i$). By this and Definition 7.1(ii) we find $E_i = E'_i$. We have now proved (34). The proof of (35) is similar. □

8 How E_0, E_0^*, P_V are related

Our goal in this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 8.1 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 7.1, for $u \in U_0$ we have*

$$E_0^* E_0 u = P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2})u, \quad (36)$$

where P_V is from Definition 4.2.

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 and Definition 7.1 the following hold for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$:*

(i) *The action of E_i on U_j is zero if $i < j$ and coincides with*

$$\frac{1}{(q^{2i-d} - q^{2j-d})(q^{2i-d} - q^{2j+2-d}) \dots (q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-2-d})}$$

times

$$\sum_{h=0}^{d-i} \frac{(e_0^+)^{i-j+h}}{(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i+2-d})(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i+4-d}) \dots (q^{2i-d} - q^{2i+2h-d})}$$

if $i \geq j$.

(ii) *The action of E_i^* on U_j is zero if $i > j$ and coincides with*

$$\frac{1}{(q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2j})(q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2j+2}) \dots (q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2i-2})}$$

times

$$\sum_{k=0}^i \frac{(e_1^+)^{j-i+k}}{(q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2i+2})(q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2i+4}) \dots (q^{d-2i} - q^{d-2i+2k})}$$

if $i \leq j$.

Proof: (i) Pick $u \in U_j$. We find $E_i u$. First assume $i < j$. Observe $U_j \subseteq V_j + \dots + V_d$ by Lemma 6.9(i) and E_i is zero on $V_j + \dots + V_d$ so $E_i u = 0$. Next assume $i = j$. By Lemma 6.9(i) and since $E_i u \in V_i$ we find $E_i u \in U_i + \dots + U_d$. Consequently there exist $u_s \in U_s$ ($i \leq s \leq d$) such that $E_i u = \sum_{s=i}^d u_s$. Using $(A - q^{2i-d}I)E_i = 0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A - q^{2i-d}I)E_i u \\ &= (A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{s=i}^d u_s \\ &= \sum_{s=i}^d (e_0^+ + q^{2s-d} - q^{2i-d})u_s \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

in view of Lemma 6.4(i). Rearranging terms in (37) we find $0 = \sum_{s=i+1}^d u'_s$ where

$$u'_s = e_0^+ u_{s-1} + (q^{2s-d} - q^{2i-d})u_s \quad (i+1 \leq s \leq d).$$

Since $u'_s \in U_s$ for $i+1 \leq s \leq d$ and since $U_0, \dots, U_d$ is a decomposition we find $u'_s = 0$ for $i+1 \leq s \leq d$. Consequently

$$u_s = (q^{2i-d} - q^{2s-d})^{-1} e_0^+ u_{s-1} \quad (i+1 \leq s \leq d).$$

By Proposition 7.2(i) and since $u_i = F_i E_i u$ we find $u_i = u$. From these comments we get the result for $i = j$. Now assume $i > j$. Define

$$v = \frac{(A - q^{2i-2-d}I)(A - q^{2i-4-d}I) \cdots (A - q^{2j-d}I)u}{(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-2-d})(q^{2i-d} - q^{2i-4-d}) \cdots (q^{2i-d} - q^{2j-d})}. \quad (38)$$

Using $E_i A = q^{2i-d} E_i$ we find $E_i v = E_i u$. In order to get $E_i u$ we compute $E_i v$. By Lemma 6.4(i) and Lemma 6.5(i), the numerator on the right in (38) is equal to $(e_0^+)^{i-j} u$ and contained in U_i . Now $v \in U_i$ in view of (38). We can now get $E_i v$ using our above discussion concerning the case $i = j$. By these comments we obtain the result for $i > j$.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8.1: By Lemma 8.2(i) (with $i = 0, j = 0$) we find

$$E_0 u = \sum_{h=0}^d \frac{(e_0^+)^h u}{(q^{-d} - q^{2-d})(q^{-d} - q^{4-d}) \cdots (q^{-d} - q^{2h-d})}. \quad (39)$$

Pick an integer h ($0 \leq h \leq d$). By (16) we have $(e_0^+)^h u \in U_h$. By this and Lemma 8.2(ii) (with $i = 0, j = h$) we find

$$E_0^* (e_0^+)^h u = \frac{(e_1^+)^h (e_0^+)^h u}{(q^d - q^{d-2})(q^d - q^{d-4}) \cdots (q^d - q^{d-2h})}. \quad (40)$$

By Definition 4.1,

$$(e_1^+)^h (e_0^+)^h u = \sigma_h u. \quad (41)$$

To obtain (36) we multiply each term in (39) on the left by E_0^* and evaluate the results using (40), (41), and (1), (18), (19). $\square$

9 The shape of a tridiagonal pair

In this section we return to the concept of a tridiagonal pair, discussed in Section 2. We will obtain a result concerning these objects that will be of use in our proof of Theorem 1.7. This result might be of independent interest.

We will use the following notation. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V . Let $V_0, \dots, V_d$ (resp. $V_0^*, \dots, V_d^*$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). By [24, Corollary 5.7], for $0 \leq i \leq d$ the spaces V_i, V_i^* have the same dimension; we denote this common dimension by ρ_i . By the construction $\rho_i \neq 0$. By [24, Corollary 5.7] and [24, Corollary 6.6] the sequence $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ is symmetric and unimodal; that is $\rho_i = \rho_{d-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\rho_{i-1} \leq \rho_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d/2$. We call the sequence $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ the *shape vector* of A, A^* .

Theorem 9.1 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on V . Let $(\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d)$ denote the corresponding shape vector. Then there exists a nonnegative integer N and positive integers $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \rho_i z^i = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^{d_j}).$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.7 there exists a NonNil irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that the standard generators x, y act as A, A^* respectively. Let (α, α^*) denote the type of this $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. Replacing A, A^* by $A/\alpha, A^*/\alpha^*$ the type becomes $(1, 1)$ and the shape vector is unchanged. By Theorem 5.1 there exists an irreducible type $(1, 1)$ $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structure on V such that x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. Let $U_0, \dots, U_d$ denote the corresponding weight space decomposition of V . By Lemma 6.6 we find $\rho_i = \dim(U_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By this and Lemma 3.12 we get the result. $\square$

10 A basis for $\mathcal{A}_q$

In this section we cite some results from [25] that we will use in our proof of Theorem 1.7. We view the $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_q$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. We display a basis for this vector space.

Recall x, y are the standard generators for $\mathcal{A}_q$.

Definition 10.1 Let n denote a nonnegative integer. By a *word of length n* in $\mathcal{A}_q$ we mean an expression of the form

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$$

where $a_i = x$ or $a_i = y$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We interpret the word of length 0 as the identity element of $\mathcal{A}_q$. We say this word is *trivial*.

Definition 10.2 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}_q$. Observe there exists a unique sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ of positive integers such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is one of $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_s}$ or $x^{i_1} y^{i_2} x^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_s}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots x^{i_s}$ or $y^{i_1} x^{i_2} y^{i_3} \cdots y^{i_s}$. We call the sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ the *signature* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$.

Example 10.3 Each of the words yx^2y^2x, xy^2x^2y has signature $(1, 2, 2, 1)$.

Definition 10.4 Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ denote a word in $\mathcal{A}_q$ and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ denote the corresponding signature. We say $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is *reducible* whenever there exists an integer η ($2 \leq \eta \leq s - 1$) such that $i_{\eta-1} \geq i_\eta < i_{\eta+1}$. We say a word is *irreducible* whenever it is not reducible.

Example 10.5 A word of length less than 4 is irreducible. The only reducible words of length 4 are xyx^2 and xyy^2 .

In the following lemma we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a given nontrivial word in $\mathcal{A}_q$ to be irreducible.

Lemma 10.6 [25, Lemma 2.28] *Let $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ denote a nontrivial word in $\mathcal{A}_q$ and let $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ denote the corresponding signature. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The word $a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ is irreducible.*
- (ii) *There exists an integer t ($1 \leq t \leq s$) such that*

$$i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_t \geq i_{t+1} \geq i_{t+2} \geq \cdots \geq i_{s-1} \geq i_s.$$

Theorem 10.7 [25, Theorem 2.29] *The set of irreducible words in $\mathcal{A}_q$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{A}_q$.*

11 From $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules to NonNil $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules, II

In this section we return to the situation of Assumption 6.2. Referring to that assumption let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V . Our next goal is to show that W contains the space V_0 from Definition 6.8.

Definition 11.1 With reference to Assumption 6.2, let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V . Observe that W is the direct sum of the nonzero spaces among $E_0W, \dots, E_dW$, where the E_i are from Definition 7.1(ii). We define

$$r = \min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, E_iW \neq 0\}.$$

We call r the *endpoint* of W .

Lemma 11.2 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V and let r denote the endpoint of W . Then $\dim(E_rW) = 1$.*

Proof: By construction W is an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. This module is NonNil by Lemma 6.6. By this and Theorem 2.7 the pair $A|_W, A^*|_W$ is a q -geometric tridiagonal pair on W . Let s denote the diameter of $A|_W, A^*|_W$. Then $E_rW, E_{r+1}W, \dots, E_{r+s}W$ is a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of $A|_W$. Applying Theorem 9.1 to $A|_W, A^*|_W$ we find $\dim(E_rW) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 11.3 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V and let r denote the endpoint of W . Pick $v \in E_rW$ and write $u = F_rv$. Then $e_1^+u = 0$.*

Proof: Observe $u \in U_r$ by Definition 7.1(i). We assume $r \geq 1$; otherwise $e_1^+u = 0$ since $e_1^+U_0 = 0$. Observe $e_1^+u \in U_{r-1}$ by (17). In order to show $e_1^+u = 0$ we show $e_1^+u \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Since A^* acts on V as $e_1^+ + K_1$ we have

$$e_1^+u = A^*v - K_1v + e_1^+(u - v). \tag{42}$$

We are going to show that each of the three terms on the right in (42) is contained in $U_r + \cdots + U_d$. By the definition of r we have $W = E_rW + \cdots + E_dW$ so $W \subseteq V_r + \cdots + V_d$ in

view of Definition 7.1(ii). By this and Lemma 6.9(i) we find $W \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. By construction $v \in W$ so $A^*v \in W$. By these comments $A^*v \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. We mentioned $v \in W$ so $v \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Each of $U_r, \dots, U_d$ is an eigenspace for K_1 so $K_1v \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Since $v \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$ and since $u = F_rv$ we find $u - v \in U_{r+1} + \cdots + U_d$. Now $e_1^+(u - v) \in U_r + \cdots + U_{d-1}$ by (17) so $e_1^+(u - v) \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. We have now shown that each of the three terms on the right in (42) is contained in $U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Therefore $e_1^+u \in U_r + \cdots + U_d$. By this and since $e_1^+u \in U_{r-1}$ we find $e_1^+u = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 11.4 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V and let r denote the endpoint of W . Pick $v \in E_rW$ and write $u = F_rv$. Then*

$$(e_1^+)^i(e_0^+)^i u \in \text{Span}(u) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d - r). \quad (43)$$

Proof: We may assume $v \neq 0$; otherwise the result is trivial. Define

$$\Delta_i = (A^* - q^{d-2r}I)(A^* - q^{d-2r-2}I) \cdots (A^* - q^{d-2r-2i+2}I). \quad (44)$$

Since Δ_i is a polynomial in A^* we find $\Delta_iW \subseteq W$. In particular $\Delta_iv \in W$ so $E_r\Delta_iv \in E_rW$. The vector v spans E_rW by Lemma 11.2 so there exists $t_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $E_r\Delta_iv = t_iv$. By this and since $E_rv = v$ we find $E_r(\Delta_i - t_iI)v = 0$. Now $(\Delta_i - t_iI)v \in E_{r+1}W + \cdots + E_dW$ in view of Definition 11.1. Observe $E_{r+1}W + \cdots + E_dW \subseteq V_{r+1} + \cdots + V_d$ where the V_j are from Definition 6.8. By these comments and Lemma 6.9(i) we find $(\Delta_i - t_iI)v \in U_{r+1} + \cdots + U_d$. Consequently $F_r(\Delta_i - t_iI)v = 0$. Recall $F_rv = u$ so

$$F_r\Delta_iv = t_iu. \quad (45)$$

We now evaluate $F_r\Delta_iv$. Observe $v = E_ru$ by Proposition 7.2(i) and since $u = F_rv$. By Lemma 8.2(i) (with $i = r, j = r$) there exist nonzero scalars $\gamma_h \in \mathbb{K}$ ($0 \leq h \leq d - r$) such that $v = \sum_{h=0}^{d-r} \gamma_h(e_0^+)^hu$. For $0 \leq h \leq d - r$ we compute $F_r\Delta_i(e_0^+)^hu$. Keep in mind $(e_0^+)^hu \in U_{r+h}$ by the inclusion on the left in (16). First assume $h < i$. Using Lemma 6.5(ii) and (44) we find $\Delta_i(e_0^+)^hu$ is contained in $U_{r+h-i} + \cdots + U_{r-1}$ so $F_r\Delta_i(e_0^+)^hu = 0$. Next assume $h = i$. By Lemma 6.4(ii) and (44) we find $(\Delta_i - (e_1^+)^i)(e_0^+)^iu$ is contained in $U_{r+1} + \cdots + U_{r+i}$. By this and since $(e_1^+)^i(e_0^+)^iu \in U_r$ we find $F_r\Delta_i(e_0^+)^iu = (e_1^+)^i(e_0^+)^iu$. Next assume $h > i$. Using Lemma 6.5(ii) and (44) we find $\Delta_i(e_0^+)^hu$ is contained in $U_{r+h-i} + \cdots + U_{r+h}$. By this and since $h > i$ we find $F_r\Delta_i(e_0^+)^hu = 0$. By these comments we find $F_r\Delta_iv = \gamma_i(e_1^+)^i(e_0^+)^iu$. Combining this and (45) we obtain (43). $\square$

We will use the following result.

Lemma 11.5 [11, Theorem 13.1] *Let B denote the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ generated by $e_0^+, e_1^+, K_0^{\pm 1}, K_1^{\pm 1}$. Then with reference to Assumption 6.2, V is irreducible as a B -module.*

Proposition 11.6 *With reference to Assumption 6.2, let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V . Then W contains the space V_0 from Definition 6.8.*

Proof: We first show $r = 0$, where r is the endpoint of W . Pick a nonzero $v \in E_r W$ and write $u = F_r v$. Observe $0 \neq u \in U_r$ by Proposition 7.2(i). By Lemma 11.3 and the inclusion on the left in (16),

$$e_1^+ u = 0, \quad (e_0^+)^{d-r+1} u = 0. \quad (46)$$

By Lemma 11.4,

$$(e_1^+)^i (e_0^+)^i u \in \text{Span}(u) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-r). \quad (47)$$

Let $\tilde{W}$ denote the subspace of V spanned by all vectors of the form

$$(e_1^+)^{i_1} (e_0^+)^{i_2} (e_1^+)^{i_3} (e_0^+)^{i_4} \cdots (e_0^+)^{i_n} u, \quad (48)$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ ranges over all sequences such that n is a nonnegative even integer, and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are integers satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \leq d-r$. Observe $u \in \tilde{W}$ so $\tilde{W} \neq 0$. We are going to show $\tilde{W} = V$ and $\tilde{W} \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. We now show $\tilde{W} = V$. To do this we show that $\tilde{W}$ is B -invariant, where B is from Lemma 11.5. To begin, we show that $\tilde{W}$ is invariant under each of e_0^+, e_1^+ . By Remark 1.5 there exists an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that x, y act as e_0^+, e_1^+ respectively. With respect to this $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure we have $\tilde{W} = \mathcal{A}_q u$ in view of Lemma 10.6, Theorem 10.7 and (46), (47). It follows that $\tilde{W}$ is invariant under each of e_0^+, e_1^+ . We now show that $\tilde{W}$ is invariant under each of $K_0^{\pm 1}, K_1^{\pm 1}$. By (16), (17) the vector (48) is contained in U_{r+i} where $i = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h (-1)^h$. Therefore the vector (48) is a common eigenvector for K_0, K_1 . Recall $\tilde{W}$ is spanned by the vectors (48) so $\tilde{W}$ is invariant under each of $K_0^{\pm 1}, K_1^{\pm 1}$. By our above comments $\tilde{W}$ is B -invariant. By this and Lemma 11.5 we find $\tilde{W} = V$. We now show $\tilde{W} \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. We mentioned above that the vector (48) is contained in U_{r+i} where $i = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h (-1)^h$. From the construction $0 \leq i \leq d-r$ so $U_{r+i} \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Therefore the vector (48) is contained in $U_r + \cdots + U_d$ so $\tilde{W} \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. We have shown $\tilde{W} = V$ and $\tilde{W} \subseteq U_r + \cdots + U_d$. Now $r = 0$ since $U_0, \dots, U_d$ is a decomposition of V . Now $E_0 W \neq 0$ by Definition 11.1. We have $\dim(V_0) = \dim(U_0)$ by Lemma 6.6 and $\dim(U_0) = 1$ by Lemma 3.12 so $\dim(V_0) = 1$. We have $0 \neq E_0 W \subseteq V_0$ so $E_0 W = V_0$. But $E_0 W \subseteq W$ by (34) so $V_0 \subseteq W$. $\square$

12 The classification

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7. These proofs depend on the following Proposition.

Proposition 12.1 *With reference to Assumption 6.2 the following are equivalent:*

- (i) V is irreducible as an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module.
- (ii) $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) We assume $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) = 0$ and get a contradiction. Define

$$W_i = (V_0 + \cdots + V_i) \cap (V_{i+1}^* + \cdots + V_d^*) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1),$$

where the V_j, V_j^* are from Definition 6.8. Further define $W = W_0 + \cdots + W_{d-1}$. We are going to show that W is an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V and $W \neq V$, $W \neq 0$. To begin, we first show $AW \subseteq W$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ we have $(A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{j=0}^i V_j = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} V_j$ by Definition 6.8 and $(A - q^{2i-d}I) \sum_{j=i+1}^d V_j^* \subseteq \sum_{j=i}^d V_j^*$ by Lemma 6.10(ii). By these comments

$$(A - q^{2i-d}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (A - q^{-d}I)W_0 = 0$$

and it follows $AW \subseteq W$. We now show $A^*W \subseteq W$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ we have $(A^* - q^{d-2i-2}I) \sum_{j=0}^i V_j \subseteq \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} V_j$ by Lemma 6.10(i) and $(A^* - q^{d-2i-2}I) \sum_{j=i+1}^d V_j^* = \sum_{j=i+2}^d V_j^*$ by Definition 6.8. By these comments

$$(A^* - q^{d-2i-2}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-2), \quad (A^* - q^{-d}I)W_{d-1} = 0$$

and it follows $A^*W \subseteq W$. So far we have shown that W is an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V . We now show $W \neq V$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ we have $W_i \subseteq V_{i+1}^* + \cdots + V_d^*$ so $W_i \subseteq V_1^* + \cdots + V_d^*$. It follows $W \subseteq V_1^* + \cdots + V_d^*$ so $W \neq V$. We now show $W \neq 0$. To do this we display a nonzero vector in W_0 . Pick a nonzero $u \in U_0$. Applying Theorem 8.1 we find $E_0^*E_0u = 0$. Write $v = E_0u$. Then $0 \neq v \in V_0$ by Proposition 7.2(i). Observe $E_0^*v = 0$ so $v \in V_1^* + \cdots + V_d^*$. From these comments $v \in W_0$. We have displayed a nonzero vector v contained in W_0 . Of course $W_0 \subseteq W$ so $W \neq 0$. We have now shown that W is an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V and $W \neq V$, $W \neq 0$. This contradicts our assumption that V is irreducible as an $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. We conclude $P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}) \neq 0$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let W denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}_q$ -submodule of V . We show $W = V$. Define $W'_i = W \cap U_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and put $W' = \sum_{i=0}^d W'_i$. By the construction $W' \subseteq W$. We are going to show $W' = V$. To do this we show $W' \neq 0$ and W' is B -invariant, where B is from Lemma 11.5. We now show $W' \neq 0$. To do this we display a nonzero vector in W'_0 . By Proposition 11.6 we find $V_0 \subseteq W$. Pick a nonzero $v \in V_0$ and write $u = F_0v$. By Proposition 7.2(i) we find $0 \neq u \in U_0$ and $v = E_0u$. Combining this last equation with Theorem 8.1 we find $u = \alpha^{-1}E_0^*v$ where $\alpha = P_V(q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2})$. We have $v \in W$ by construction and $E_0^*W \subseteq W$ by (35) so now $u \in W$. By the above comments $0 \neq u \in W'_0$. Of course $W'_0 \subseteq W'$ so $W' \neq 0$. We now show that W' is B -invariant. To begin, we show $K_0^{\pm 1}W' \subseteq W'$. By (15) $K_0 - q^{2i-d}I$ vanishes on U_i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore $K_0^{\pm 1}W'_i \subseteq W'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ so $K_0^{\pm 1}W' \subseteq W'$. By this and since $K_0K_1 - I$ vanishes on V we find $K_1^{\pm 1}W' \subseteq W'$. We show $e_0^+W' \subseteq W'$. From Lemma 6.4(i) and the inclusion on the left in (16) we find

$$e_0^+W'_i \subseteq W'_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad e_0^+W'_d = 0$$

and it follows $e_0^+W' \subseteq W'$. We show $e_1^+W' \subseteq W'$. From Lemma 6.4(ii) and the inclusion on the right in (17) we find

$$e_1^+W'_i \subseteq W'_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad e_1^+W'_0 = 0$$

and it follows $e_1^+W' \subseteq W'$. By our above comments W' is B -invariant. We have now shown that W' is nonzero and B -invariant so $W' = V$ by Lemma 11.5. Recall $W' \subseteq W$ so $W = V$.

The result follows. □

It is now a simple matter to prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Combine Theorem 5.1 and the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Proposition 12.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7: By Corollary 6.7 there exists a NonNil $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on V such that x and y act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$ respectively. By construction this $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is unique. This $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is irreducible by the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Proposition 12.1. This $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is type $(1, 1)$ by Lemma 6.6. □

References

- [1] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A family of tridiagonal pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **390** (2004) 369–384.
- [2] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **13** (2005) 1–9.
- [3] N. M. Atakishiyev and A. Klimyk. Representations of the quantum algebra $\mathfrak{su}_q(1, 1)$ and duality of q -orthogonal polynomials. *Contemporary Math.* **376** (2005) 195–206
- [4] M. N. Atakishiyev and V. Groza. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and q -Krawtchouk families of polynomials. *J. Phys. A.* **37** (2004) 2625–2635.
- [5] N. M. Atakishiyev and A. U. Klimyk. Duality of q -polynomials, orthogonal on countable sets of points. Preprint; [arXiv:math.CA/0411249](#).
- [6] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
- [7] P. Baseilhac. Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* **709** (2005) 491–521.
- [8] P. Baseilhac. An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras. *Nuclear Phys. B* **705** (2005) 605–619.
- [9] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A new (in)finite dimensional algebra for quantum integrable models. Preprint; [arXiv:math-ph/0503036](#).
- [10] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A deformed analogue of Onsager’s symmetry in the XXZ open spin chain. Preprint; [arXiv:hep-th/0507053](#).
- [11] G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger. Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and its Borel subalgebra. *J. Algebra* **282** (2004) 172–194.

- [12] J. S. Caughman IV. The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite P - and Q -polynomial schemes. *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999) 65–95.
- [13] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991) 261–283.
- [14] V. Chari and A. Pressley. *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [15] E. Date and S.S. Roan. The structure of quotients of the Onsager algebra by closed ideals. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000) 3275–3296.
- [16] V. G. Drinfel’d. A new realization of Yangians and quantum affine algebras. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988) 212–216.
- [17] J. T. Go. The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002) 399–429.
- [18] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ, I. M. Lutzenko, and A. S. Zhedanov. Mutual integrability, quadratic algebras, and dynamical symmetry. *Ann. Physics* **217** (1992) 1–20.
- [19] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. “Twisted” Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $\mathfrak{su}_q(2)$. *J. Phys. A* **25** (1992) L1029–L1032.
- [20] F. A. Grünbaum. Some bispectral musings, in: *The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 31–45.
- [21] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. The q -version of a theorem of Bochner. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **68** (1996) 103–114.
- [22] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. On a q -analogue of the string equation and a generalization of the classical orthogonal polynomials, in: *Algebraic methods and q -special functions (Montréal, QC, 1996)* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 171–181.
- [23] B. Hartwig. Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, to appear.
- [24] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes, in: *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; [arXiv:math.CO/0406556](#).
- [25] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **188** (2004) 145–160; [arXiv:math.QA/0304244](#) .
- [26] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Ramanujan J.*, accepted; [arXiv:math.QA/0310042](#).
- [27] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, and C. W. Weng. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation. *J. Algebra*, submitted.

- [28] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw. *The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analog*, report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1998. Available at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/research.html>
- [29] H. T. Koelink. q -Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type B . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000) 4789–4813.
- [30] T. H. Koornwinder and U. Onn. Lower-upper triangular decompositions, $q = 0$ limits, and p -adic interpretations of some q -hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Preprint [arXiv:math.CA/0405309](#).
- [31] D. Leonard. Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982) 656–663.
- [32] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1999.
- [33] J. Marco and J. Parcet. A new approach to the theory of classical hypergeometric polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* To appear.
- [34] K. Nomura. Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **397** (2005) 99–106.
- [35] K. Nomura. A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **403** (2005) 1–23.
- [36] K. Nomura. Tridiagonal pairs of height one. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **403** (2005) 118–142.
- [37] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Balanced Leonard pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* Submitted; [arXiv:math.RA/0506219](#).
- [38] A. A. Pascasio. On the multiplicities of the primitive idempotents of a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002) 1073–1078.
- [39] H. Rosengren. An elementary approach to the $6j$ -symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic). Preprint; [arXiv:math.CA/0312310](#).
- [40] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992) 363–388.
- [41] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993) 177–210.
- [42] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **330** (2001) 149–203; [arXiv:math.RA/0406555](#).
- [43] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. In *Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; [arXiv:math.QA/0307016](#).

- [44] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **32**(2) (2002) 827–888; [arXiv:math.RA/0406577](#).
- [45] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD - D and the LB - UB canonical form. *J. Algebra*, to appear; [arXiv:math.RA/0304077](#).
- [46] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. OPSFA Rome 2001. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **153**(2) (2003) 463–475.
- [47] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1109):67–79, 1999. Algebraic combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).
- [48] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **178** (2005) 437–452; [arXiv:math.RA/0306290](#).
- [49] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **34** (2005) 307–332; [arXiv:math.RA/0306291](#).
- [50] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and the q -Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **387** (2004) 235–276; [arXiv:math.QA/0306301](#).
- [51] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas. Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations. *J. Algebra Appl.* **3** (2004) 411–426; [arXiv:math.QA/0305356](#).
- [52] P. Terwilliger. The equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. *J. Algebra*, submitted.
- [53] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; an algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials. Lecture notes for the summer school on orthogonal polynomials and special functions. Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganes, Spain. July 8–July 18, 2004; [arXiv:math.QA/0408390](#).
- [54] R. Vidunas. Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations. Preprint. [arXiv:math.RA/0505041](#).
- [55] A. S. Zhedanov. “Hidden symmetry” of Askey-Wilson polynomials, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **89** (1991) 190–204.
- [56] A. S. Zhedanov. Quantum $\mathrm{su}_q(2)$ algebra: “Cartesian” version and overlaps, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **7** (1992) 1589–1593.
- [57] A. S. Zhedanov. Hidden symmetry algebra and overlap coefficients for two ring-shaped potentials. *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) 4633–4641.
- [58] A. S. Zhedanov, and A. Korovnichenko. “Leonard pairs” in classical mechanics. *J. Phys. A* **5** (2002) 5767–5780.

Tatsuro Ito
Department of Computational Science
Faculty of Science
Kanazawa University
Kakuma-machi
Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan
email: `ito@kappa.s.kanazawa-u.ac.jp`

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
Van Vleck Hall
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA
email: `terwilli@math.wisc.edu`